

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/709,620	SONG, WEIDONG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) Christian Brower.

(2) Kevin Mierzwa.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2005

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

1, 4, 12, 19-49

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Mojarradi 6516604, Artman 3017115, Hines 3117029, Banks 3573977

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)